September 06 2024 12:57 PM

CONSTANCE R. WHITE COUNTY CLERK NO: 24-2-08098-3

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

26

23

24

25

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF PIERCE

BRIAN BRUTON, CHRISTOPHER HORNE and TRAVIS THORPE, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,

v.

LINDE GAS & EOUIPMENT, INC., a Delaware corporation, and EARNEST CORNWELL, individually and on behalf of the marital community of EARNEST CORNWELL and J. DOE CORNWELL.

Defendants.

Case No. 24-2-08098-3

DEFENDANT LINDE GAS & **EQUIPMENT, INC.'S ANSWER,** AFFIRMATIVE AND OTHER **DEFENSES TO PLAINTIFFS' FIRST** AMENDED CLASS ACTION **COMPLAINT**

Defendant LINDE GAS & EQUIPMENT, INC. ("Defendant" or "LGE") hereby files its Answer, Affirmative and Other Defenses to the First Amended Class Action Complaint ("Complaint") filed by Plaintiffs BRIAN BRUTON, CHRISTOPHER HORNE and TRAVIS THORPE ("Plaintiffs"). To the extent that the introductory paragraph or any headings contained within the Complaint require a response, Defendant denies the same. Specifically, Defendant denies any claim or implication by Plaintiffs that this action is appropriate to be certified or maintained as a class action, denies the substance of Plaintiffs' claims, and denies that it committed any unlawful acts or practices that would entitle Plaintiffs, or the putative class they seek to represent, to recover any damages. Answers to each paragraph of the Complaint are made without waiving, but expressly reserving, all rights that Defendant may have to seek relief by appropriate motions directed to the

allegations of the Complaint. Defendant responds to the Complaint as follows:

I. INTRODUCTION

- 1.1 In response to Paragraph 1.1 of the Complaint, Defendant states the content of its website speaks for itself. Defendant admits that Linde Gas & Equipment, Inc. ("LGE") provides industrial gases and welding supplies to a variety of customers and that it employs Class A and Class B commercial truck drivers to make deliveries of compressed gases and dry ice to customers in Western Washington. Defendant also admits that it requires drivers to maintain a hazardous materials endorsement on their commercial driver's licenses because of the products that they deliver. Defendant also admits that it employs drivers at its facility in Tacoma, Washington. Defendant further admits that it employed Earnest Cornwell as the Tacoma distribution manager until February 6, 2024. Except as expressly admitted, Defendant denies each and every allegation contained in Paragraph 1.1 of the Complaint. Defendant specifically denies any claim or implication by Plaintiffs that this action may be brought on behalf of any proposed class, and denies that it committed any unlawful acts or practices that would entitle Plaintiffs, or the putative class they seek to represent, to recover any damages.
- 1.2 In response to Paragraph 1.2 of the Complaint, Defendant states that Paragraph 1.2 contains a statement of Plaintiffs' legal position and claims to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendant denies each and every allegation contained in Paragraph 1.2 of the Complaint. Defendant specifically denies any claim or implication by Plaintiffs that this action may be brought on behalf of any proposed class, and denies that it committed any unlawful acts or practices that would entitle Plaintiffs, or the putative class they seek to represent, to recover any damages.

II. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

2.1 In response to Paragraph 2.1 of the Complaint, Defendant states that Paragraph 2.1. asserts a legal conclusion to which no response is required. Defendant further denies Paragraph 2.1 to the extent that it is not directed at Defendant LGE. Defendant admits to the extent that LGE

transacts business in Pierce County and in the State of Washington. Except as expressly admitted, Defendant denies each and every allegation contained in Paragraph 2.1. Defendant further denies any claim or implication by Plaintiffs that this action is appropriate to be certified or maintained as a class action, denies the substance of Plaintiffs' claims, and denies that it committed any unlawful acts or practices that would entitle Plaintiffs, or the putative class they seek to represent, to recover any damages.

- 2.2 In response to Paragraph 2.2 of the Complaint, Defendant states that Paragraph 2.2 asserts a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendant admits that LGE transacts business in Pierce County. Defendant further denies Paragraph 2.2 to the extent that it is not directed at Defendant LGE. Except as expressly admitted, Defendant denies each and every allegation contained in Paragraph 2.2.
- 2.3 In response to Paragraph 2.3 of the Complaint, Defendant states that Paragraph 2.3 contains a statement of Plaintiffs' legal position and claims to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendant denies each and every allegation contained in Paragraph 2.3 of the Complaint. Defendant further denies any claim or implication by Plaintiffs that this action is appropriate to be certified or maintained as a class action, denies the substance of Plaintiffs' claims, and denies that it committed any unlawful acts or practices that would entitle Plaintiffs, or the putative class they seek to represent, to recover any damages.
- 2.4 In response to Paragraph 2.4 of the Complaint, Defendant states that Paragraph 2.4. asserts a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendant denies each and every allegation contained in Paragraph 2.4 of the Complaint. Defendant specifically denies any claim or implication by Plaintiffs that this action may be brought on behalf of any proposed class, and denies that it committed any unlawful acts or practices that would entitle Plaintiffs, or the putative class they seek to represent, to recover any damages. Defendant further

26

206.623.3300

denies Paragraph 2.4 to the extent that it is not directed at Defendant LGE and preserves any arguments it may have as to the improper joinder of Mr. Cornwell as a Defendant in this action.

III. PARTIES

- 3.1 In response to Paragraph 3.1 of the Complaint, Defendant admits that LGE has employed Plaintiff Brian Bruton as a Class A commercial driver since January 2016 to deliver hazardous materials to LGE customers in Western Washington. Defendant lacks knowledge and information sufficient to admit or deny whether Plaintiff is a resident of Lakewood, Washington, and thus denies on that basis. Defendant is unable to confirm at this time whether Plaintiff Bruton made deliveries in Seattle without a detailed review of his routes, and thus denies on that basis pending further investigation. Except as expressly admitted, Defendant denies each and every allegation contained in Paragraph 3.1. Defendant further denies Paragraph 3.1 to the extent that it is not directed at Defendant LGE.
- 3.2 In response to Paragraph 3.2 of the Complaint, Defendant admits that LGE employed Plaintiff Christopher Horne as a Class A commercial driver from September 2020 to February 2024 to deliver hazardous materials to customers in Western Washington. Defendant lacks knowledge and information sufficient to admit or deny whether Plaintiff is a resident of Sumner, Washington, and thus denies on that basis. Defendant is unable to confirm at this time whether Plaintiff Horne made deliveries in Seattle without a detailed review of his routes, and thus denies on that basis pending further investigation. Except as expressly admitted, Defendant denies each and every allegation contained in Paragraph 3.2. Defendant further denies Paragraph 3.2 to the extent that it is not directed at Defendant LGE.
- 3.3 In response to Paragraph 3.3 of the Complaint, Defendant admits that LGE has employed Plaintiff Travis Thorpe as a Class A commercial driver since July 2016 to deliver hazardous materials to customers in Western Washington. Defendant lacks knowledge and information sufficient to admit or deny whether Plaintiff is a resident of Tacoma, Washington, and thus denies on that basis. Defendant is unable to confirm at this time whether Plaintiff Thorpe made

deliveries in Seattle without a detailed review of his routes, and thus denies on that basis pending further investigation. Except as expressly admitted, Defendant denies each and every allegation contained in Paragraph 3.3.

- 3.4 In response to Paragraph 3.4 of the Complaint, Defendant admits that it is incorporated in the State of Delaware and maintains its principal place of business in Danbury, Connecticut. Defendant also admits that it transacts business in the State of Washington. Defendant further states the content of its website speaks for itself. Defendant specifically denies that it jointly employed anyone with Defendant Cornwell. Defendant further denies any claim or implication by Plaintiffs that this action may be brought on behalf of any proposed class, and denies that it committed any unlawful acts or practices that would entitle Plaintiffs, or the putative class they seek to represent, to recover any damages. Except as expressly admitted, Defendant denies each and every allegation contained in Paragraph 3.4.
- 3.5 In response to Paragraph 3.5 of the Complaint, Defendant LGE states that Paragraph 3.5. is not directed at Defendant LGE, and thus no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendant admits that LGE employed Mr. Cornwell as its Tacoma distribution manager until February 6, 2024, which included overseeing the scheduling and execution of delivery routes performed by Plaintiffs and other Tacoma drivers. Except as expressly admitted, Defendant denies each and every allegation contained in Paragraph 3.5 of the Complaint. Defendant further denies any claim or implication by Plaintiffs that this action is appropriate to be certified or maintained as a class action, denies the substance of Plaintiffs' claims, and denies that it committed any unlawful acts or practices that would entitle Plaintiffs, or the putative class they seek to represent, to recover any damages.

IV. CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS

4.1 In response to Paragraph 4.1 of the Complaint, Defendant states that Paragraph 4.1 contains a statement of Plaintiffs' legal position and claims to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendant denies each and every allegation contained in Paragraph 4.1

of the Complaint. Defendant further denies any claim or implication by Plaintiffs that this action may be brought on behalf of any proposed class, and denies that it committed any unlawful acts or practices that would entitle Plaintiffs, or the putative class they seek to represent, to recover any damages.

- 4.2 In response to Paragraph 4.2 of the Complaint, Defendant states that Paragraph 4.2 asserts a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendant denies each and every allegation contained in Paragraph 4.2 of the Complaint. Defendant further denies any claim or implication by Plaintiffs that this action may be brought on behalf of any proposed class, and denies that it committed any unlawful acts or practices that would entitle Plaintiffs, or the putative class they seek to represent, to recover any damages.
- 4.3 In response to Paragraph 4.3 of the Complaint, Defendant states that Paragraph 4.3 asserts a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendant denies each and every allegation contained in Paragraph 4.3 of the Complaint. Defendant further denies any claim or implication by Plaintiffs that this action may be brought on behalf of any proposed class, and denies that it committed any unlawful acts or practices that would entitle Plaintiffs, or the putative class they seek to represent, to recover any damages.
- 4.4 In response to Paragraph 4.4 of the Complaint, Defendant states that Paragraph 4.4 asserts a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendant denies each and every allegation contained in Paragraph 4.4 of the Complaint. Defendant further denies any claim or implication by Plaintiffs that this action may be brought on behalf of any proposed class, and denies that it committed any unlawful acts or practices that would entitle Plaintiffs, or the putative class they seek to represent, to recover any damages.
- 4.5 In response to Paragraph 4.5 of the Complaint, Defendant states that Paragraph 4.5 asserts a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendant denies each and every allegation contained in Paragraph 4.5 of the Complaint. Defendant

further denies any claim or implication by Plaintiffs that this action may be brought on behalf of any proposed class, and denies that it committed any unlawful acts or practices that would entitle Plaintiffs, or the putative class they seek to represent, to recover any damages.

- 4.6 In response to Paragraph 4.6 of the Complaint, Defendant states that Paragraph 4.6 asserts a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendant denies each and every allegation contained in Paragraph 4.6 of the Complaint. Defendant further denies any claim or implication by Plaintiffs that this action may be brought on behalf of any proposed class, and denies that it committed any unlawful acts or practices that would entitle Plaintiffs, or the putative class they seek to represent, to recover any damages.
- 4.7 In response to Paragraph 4.7 of the Complaint, Defendant states that Paragraph 4.7 asserts a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendant denies each and every allegation contained in Paragraph 4.7 of the Complaint. Defendant further denies any claim or implication by Plaintiffs that this action may be brought on behalf of any proposed class, and denies that it committed any unlawful acts or practices that would entitle Plaintiffs, or the putative class they seek to represent, to recover any damages.

V. SUMMARY OF FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 5.1 In response to Paragraph 5.1 of the Complaint, Defendant denies each and every allegation contained in Paragraph 5.1 of the Complaint. Defendant further denies any claim or implication by Plaintiffs that this action may be brought on behalf of any proposed class, and denies that it committed any unlawful acts or practices that would entitle Plaintiffs, or the putative class they seek to represent, to recover any damages.
- 5.2 In response to Paragraph 5.2 of the Complaint, Defendant denies each and every allegation contained in Paragraph 5.2 of the Complaint. Defendant further denies any claim or implication by Plaintiffs that this action may be brought on behalf of any proposed class, and denies that it committed any unlawful acts or practices that would entitle Plaintiffs, or the putative class they seek to represent, to recover any damages.

1112

10

1314

1516

1718

19 20

212223

24

- 5.3 In response to Paragraph 5.3 of the Complaint, Defendant denies each and every allegation contained in Paragraph 5.3 of the Complaint. Defendant further denies any claim or implication by Plaintiffs that this action may be brought on behalf of any proposed class, and denies that it committed any unlawful acts or practices that would entitle Plaintiffs, or the putative class they seek to represent, to recover any damages.
- 5.4 In response to Paragraph 5.4 of the Complaint, Defendant denies each and every allegation contained in Paragraph 5.4 of the Complaint. Defendant further denies any claim or implication by Plaintiffs that this action may be brought on behalf of any proposed class, and denies that it committed any unlawful acts or practices that would entitle Plaintiffs, or the putative class they seek to represent, to recover any damages.
- 5.5 In response to Paragraph 5.5 of the Complaint, Defendant denies each and every allegation contained in Paragraph 5.5 of the Complaint. Defendant further denies any claim or implication by Plaintiffs that this action may be brought on behalf of any proposed class, and denies that it committed any unlawful acts or practices that would entitle Plaintiffs, or the putative class they seek to represent, to recover any damages.
- 5.6 In response to Paragraph 5.6 of the Complaint, Defendant denies each and every allegation contained in Paragraph 5.6 of the Complaint. Defendant further denies any claim or implication by Plaintiffs that this action may be brought on behalf of any proposed class, and denies that it committed any unlawful acts or practices that would entitle Plaintiffs, or the putative class they seek to represent, to recover any damages.
- 5.7 In response to Paragraph 5.7 of the Complaint, Defendant denies each and every allegation contained in Paragraph 5.7 of the Complaint. Defendant further denies any claim or implication by Plaintiffs that this action may be brought on behalf of any proposed class, and denies that it committed any unlawful acts or practices that would entitle Plaintiffs, or the putative class they seek to represent, to recover any damages.

5.8 In response to Paragraph 5.8 of the Complaint, Defendant denies each and every allegation contained in Paragraph 5.8 of the Complaint. Defendant further denies any claim or implication by Plaintiffs that this action may be brought on behalf of any proposed class, and denies that it committed any unlawful acts or practices that would entitle Plaintiffs, or the putative class they seek to represent, to recover any damages.

5.9 In response to Paragraph 5.9 of the Complaint, Defendant denies each and every allegation contained in Paragraph 5.9 of the Complaint. Defendant further denies any claim or implication by Plaintiffs that this action may be brought on behalf of any proposed class, and denies that it committed any unlawful acts or practices that would entitle Plaintiffs, or the putative class they seek to represent, to recover any damages.

5.10 In response to Paragraph 5.10 of the Complaint, Defendant denies each and every allegation contained in Paragraph 5.10 of the Complaint. Defendant further denies any claim or implication by Plaintiffs that this action may be brought on behalf of any proposed class, and denies that it committed any unlawful acts or practices that would entitle Plaintiffs, or the putative class they seek to represent, to recover any damages.

5.11 In response to Paragraph 5.11 of the Complaint, Defendant admits to the extent that it has required its drivers to accurately record their hours and activities in the LGE timekeeping system and the drivers' DOT logs. Except as expressly admitted, Defendant denies each and every allegation contained in Paragraph 5.11 of the Complaint. Defendant further denies any claim or implication by Plaintiffs that this action may be brought on behalf of any proposed class, and denies that it committed any unlawful acts or practices that would entitle Plaintiffs, or the putative class they seek to represent, to recover any damages.

5.12 In response to Paragraph 5.12 of the Complaint, Defendant lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of Plaintiffs' information, belief, and the allegations in Paragraph 5.12 of the Complaint, and therefore denies each and every allegation

- 5.13 In response to Paragraph 5.13 of the Complaint, Defendant denies each and every allegation contained in Paragraph 5.13 of the Complaint. Defendant further denies any claim or implication by Plaintiffs that this action may be brought on behalf of any proposed class, and denies that it committed any unlawful acts or practices that would entitle Plaintiffs, or the putative class they seek to represent, to recover any damages.
- 5.14 In response to Paragraph 5.14 of the Complaint, Defendant denies each and every allegation contained in Paragraph 5.14 of the Complaint. Defendant further denies any claim or implication by Plaintiffs that this action may be brought on behalf of any proposed class, and denies that it committed any unlawful acts or practices that would entitle Plaintiffs, or the putative class they seek to represent, to recover any damages.
- 5.15 In response to Paragraph 5.15 of the Complaint, Defendant states that its employees' time records speak for themselves as to the hours they worked each day and each week. Defendant denies any claim or implication by Plaintiffs that this action may be brought on behalf of any proposed class, and denies that it committed any unlawful acts or practices that would entitle Plaintiffs, or the putative class they seek to represent, to recover any damages. Except as expressly admitted, Defendant denies each and every allegation contained in Paragraph 5.15 of the Complaint.
- 5.16 In response to Paragraph 5.16 of the Complaint, Defendant denies each and every allegation contained in Paragraph 5.16 of the Complaint. Defendant denies any claim or implication by Plaintiffs that this action may be brought on behalf of any proposed class, and denies that it committed any unlawful acts or practices that would entitle Plaintiffs, or the putative class they seek to represent, to recover any damages.

- 5.17 In response to Paragraph 5.17 of the Complaint, Defendant denies each and every allegation contained in Paragraph 5.17 of the Complaint. Defendant further denies any claim or implication by Plaintiffs that this action may be brought on behalf of any proposed class, and denies that it committed any unlawful acts or practices that would entitle Plaintiffs, or the putative class they seek to represent, to recover any damages.
- 5.18 In response to Paragraph 5.18 of the Complaint, Defendant denies each and every allegation contained in Paragraph 5.18 of the Complaint. Defendant further denies any claim or implication by Plaintiffs that this action may be brought on behalf of any proposed class, and denies that it committed any unlawful acts or practices that would entitle Plaintiffs, or the putative class they seek to represent, to recover any damages.
- 5.19 In response to Paragraph 5.19 of the Complaint, Defendant denies each and every allegation contained in Paragraph 5.19 of the Complaint. Defendant further denies any claim or implication by Plaintiffs that this action may be brought on behalf of any proposed class, and denies that it committed any unlawful acts or practices that would entitle Plaintiffs, or the putative class they seek to represent, to recover any damages.
- 5.20 In response to Paragraph 5.20 of the Complaint, Defendant denies each and every allegation contained in Paragraph 5.20 of the Complaint. Defendant further denies any claim or implication by Plaintiffs that this action may be brought on behalf of any proposed class, and denies that it committed any unlawful acts or practices that would entitle Plaintiffs, or the putative class they seek to represent, to recover any damages.
- 5.21 In response to Paragraph 5.21 of the Complaint, Defendant states that Paragraph 5.21. asserts a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendant states that the language of WAC 296-128-010(6), WAC 296-126-050, and RCW 49.46.040(3) speaks for itself. Except as expressly admitted, Defendant denies each and every allegation contained in Paragraph 5.21. Defendant specifically denies any claim or implication by

Plaintiffs that this action is appropriate to be certified or maintained as a class action, denies the substance of Plaintiffs' claims, and denies that it committed any unlawful acts or practices that would entitle Plaintiffs, or the putative class they seek to represent, to recover any damages.

- 5.22 In response to Paragraph 5.22 of the Complaint, Defendant denies each and every allegation contained in Paragraph 5.22 of the Complaint. Defendant further denies any claim or implication by Plaintiffs that this action may be brought on behalf of any proposed class, and denies that it committed any unlawful acts or practices that would entitle Plaintiffs, or the putative class they seek to represent, to recover any damages.
- 5.23 In response to Paragraph 5.23 of the Complaint, Defendant denies each and every allegation contained in Paragraph 5.23 of the Complaint. Defendant further denies any claim or implication by Plaintiffs that this action may be brought on behalf of any proposed class, and denies that it committed any unlawful acts or practices that would entitle Plaintiffs, or the putative class they seek to represent, to recover any damages.
- 5.24 In response to Paragraph 5.24 of the Complaint, Defendant denies each and every allegation contained in Paragraph 5.24 of the Complaint. Defendant further denies any claim or implication by Plaintiffs that this action may be brought on behalf of any proposed class, and denies that it committed any unlawful acts or practices that would entitle Plaintiffs, or the putative class they seek to represent, to recover any damages.
- 5.25 In response to Paragraph 5.25 of the Complaint, Defendant denies each and every allegation contained in Paragraph 5.25 of the Complaint. Defendant further denies any claim or implication by Plaintiffs that this action may be brought on behalf of any proposed class, and denies that it committed any unlawful acts or practices that would entitle Plaintiffs, or the putative class they seek to represent, to recover any damages.
- 5.26 In response to Paragraph 5.26 of the Complaint, Defendant denies each and every allegation contained in Paragraph 5.26 of the Complaint. Defendant further denies any claim or

implication by Plaintiffs that this action may be brought on behalf of any proposed class, and denies that it committed any unlawful acts or practices that would entitle Plaintiffs, or the putative class they seek to represent, to recover any damages.

VI. FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF (RCW 49.12.020 and WAC 296-126-092 —Alleged Failure to Provide Rest Periods)

- 6.1 In response to Paragraph 6.1 of the Complaint, Defendant re-alleges and incorporates by reference each and every response set forth in the preceding paragraphs.
- 6.2 In response to Paragraph 6.2 of the Complaint, Defendant states that the language of RCW 49.12.010 speaks for itself. Except as expressly admitted, Defendant denies each and every allegation contained in Paragraph 6.2.
- 6.3 In response to Paragraph 6.3 of the Complaint, Defendant states that the language of RCW 49.12.020 speaks for itself. Except as expressly admitted, Defendant denies each and every allegation contained in Paragraph 6.3.
- 6.4 In response to Paragraph 6.4 of the Complaint, Defendant states that the language of RCW 49.12.005 and WAC 296-126-002 speaks for itself. Except as expressly admitted, Defendant denies each and every allegation contained in Paragraph 6.4.
- 6.5 In response to Paragraph 6.5 of the Complaint, Defendant states that the language of WAC 296-126-092 speaks for itself. Defendant denies each and every allegation contained in Paragraph 6.5.
- 6.6 In response to Paragraph 6.6 of the Complaint, Defendant states that Paragraph 6.6 asserts a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendant states Washington law speaks for itself. Defendant denies each and every allegation contained in Paragraph 6.6.
- 6.7 In response to Paragraph 6.7 of the Complaint, Defendant states that Paragraph 6.7 asserts a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required,

Defendant states Washington law speaks for itself. Defendant denies each and every allegation contained in Paragraph 6.7.

- 6.8 In response to Paragraph 6.8 of the Complaint, Defendant states that Paragraph 6.8 asserts a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendant states Washington law speaks for itself. Defendant denies each and every allegation contained in Paragraph 6.8.
- 6.9 In response to Paragraph 6.9 of the Complaint, Defendant denies each and every allegation contained in Paragraph 6.9 of the Complaint.
- 6.10 In response to Paragraph 6.10 of the Complaint, Defendant denies each and every allegation contained in Paragraph 6.10 of the Complaint. Defendant further denies any claim or implication by Plaintiffs that this action may be brought on behalf of any proposed class, and denies that it committed any unlawful acts or practices that would entitle Plaintiffs, or the putative class they seek to represent, to recover any damages.

VII. SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF (RCW 49.12.020 and WAC 296-126-092 — Alleged Failure to Provide Meal Periods)

- 7.1 In response to Paragraph 7.1 of the Complaint, Defendant re-alleges and incorporates by reference each and every response set forth in the preceding paragraphs.
- 7.2 In response to Paragraph 7.2 of the Complaint, Defendant states that the language of RCW 49.12.010 speaks for itself. Except as expressly admitted, Defendant denies each and every allegation contained in Paragraph 7.2.
- 7.3 In response to Paragraph 7.3 of the Complaint, Defendant states that the language of RCW 49.12.020 speaks for itself. Except as expressly admitted, Defendant denies each and every allegation contained in Paragraph 7.3.
- 7.4 In response to Paragraph 7.4 of the Complaint, Defendant states that the language of RCW 49.12.005 and WAC 296-126-002 speaks for itself. Except as expressly admitted, Defendant denies each and every allegation contained in Paragraph 7.4.

12

13

14

1516

17

18 19

2021

22

2324

25

26

- 7.5 In response to Paragraph 7.5 of the Complaint, Defendant states that the language of WAC 296-126-092 speaks for itself. Defendant denies each and every allegation contained in Paragraph 7.5.
- 7.6 In response to Paragraph 7.6 of the Complaint, Defendant states that Paragraph 7.6 asserts a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendant states Washington law speaks for itself. Defendant denies each and every allegation contained in Paragraph 7.6.
- 7.7 In response to Paragraph 7.7 of the Complaint, Defendant states that Paragraph 7.7 asserts a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendant states Washington law speaks for itself. Defendant denies each and every allegation contained in Paragraph 7.7.
- 7.8 In response to Paragraph 7.8 of the Complaint, Defendant states that Paragraph 7.8 asserts a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendant states Washington law speaks for itself. Defendant denies each and every allegation contained in Paragraph 7.8.
- 7.9 In response to Paragraph 7.9 of the Complaint, Defendant denies each and every allegation contained in Paragraph 7.9 of the Complaint.
- 7.10 In response to Paragraph 7.10 of the Complaint, Defendant denies each and every allegation contained in Paragraph 7.10 of the Complaint. Defendant further denies any claim or implication by Plaintiffs that this action may be brought on behalf of any proposed class, and denies that it committed any unlawful acts or practices that would entitle Plaintiffs, or the putative class they seek to represent, to recover any damages.

VIII. THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF (RCW 49.46.090 — Alleged Payment of Wages Less Than Entitled)

8.1 In response to Paragraph 8.1 of the Complaint, Defendant re-alleges and incorporates by reference each and every response set forth in the preceding paragraphs.

- 8.2 In response to Paragraph 8.2 of the Complaint, Defendant states that the language of RCW 49.46.090 speaks for itself. Except as expressly admitted, Defendant denies each and every allegation contained in Paragraph 8.2.
- 8.3 In response to Paragraph 8.3 of the Complaint, Defendant states that the language of RCW 49.46.010 speaks for itself. Except as expressly admitted, Defendant denies each and every allegation contained in Paragraph 8.3.
- 8.4 In response to Paragraph 8.4 of the Complaint, Defendant denies each and every allegation contained in Paragraph 8.4 of the Complaint. Defendant further denies any claim or implication by Plaintiffs that this action may be brought on behalf of any proposed class, and denies that it committed any unlawful acts or practices that would entitle Plaintiffs, or the putative class they seek to represent, to recover any damages.
- 8.5 In response to Paragraph 8.5 of the Complaint, Defendant denies each and every allegation contained in Paragraph 8.5 of the Complaint. Defendant further denies any claim or implication by Plaintiffs that this action may be brought on behalf of any proposed class, and denies that it committed any unlawful acts or practices that would entitle Plaintiffs, or the putative class they seek to represent, to recover any damages.

IX. FOURTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF (RCW 49.46.130 — Alleged Failure to Pay Overtime Wages)

- 9.1 In response to Paragraph 9.1 of the Complaint, Defendant re-alleges and incorporates by reference each and every response set forth in the preceding paragraphs.
- 9.2 In response to Paragraph 9.2 of the Complaint, Defendant states that the language of RCW 49.46.130 speaks for itself. Except as expressly admitted, Defendant denies each and every allegation contained in Paragraph 9.2.
- 9.3 In response to Paragraph 9.3 of the Complaint, Defendant denies each and every allegation contained in Paragraph 9.3 of the Complaint. Defendant further denies any claim or implication by Plaintiffs that this action may be brought on behalf of any proposed class, and denies

that it committed any unlawful acts or practices that would entitle Plaintiffs, or the putative class they seek to represent, to recover any damages.

- 9.4 In response to Paragraph 9.4 of the Complaint, Defendant denies each and every allegation contained in Paragraph 9.4 of the Complaint.
- 9.5 In response to Paragraph 9.5 of the Complaint, Defendant denies each and every allegation contained in Paragraph 9.5 of the Complaint. Defendant further denies any claim or implication by Plaintiffs that this action may be brought on behalf of any proposed class, and denies that it committed any unlawful acts or practices that would entitle Plaintiffs, or the putative class they seek to represent, to recover any damages.

X. FIFTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF (RCW 49.52.050 — Alleged Unlawful Rebate of Wages)

- 10.1 In response to Paragraph 10.1 of the Complaint, Defendant re-alleges and incorporates by reference each and every response set forth in the preceding paragraphs.
- 10.2 In response to Paragraph 10.2 of the Complaint, Defendant states that the language of RCW 49.52.050 speaks for itself. Except as expressly admitted, Defendant denies each and every allegation contained in Paragraph 10.2.
- 10.3 In response to Paragraph 10.3 of the Complaint, Defendant denies each and every allegation contained in Paragraph 10.3 of the Complaint. Defendant further denies any claim or implication by Plaintiffs that this action may be brought on behalf of any proposed class, and denies that it committed any unlawful acts or practices that would entitle Plaintiffs, or the putative class they seek to represent, to recover any damages.
- 10.4 In response to Paragraph 10.4 of the Complaint, Defendant denies each and every allegation contained in Paragraph 10.4 of the Complaint. Defendant further denies any claim or implication by Plaintiffs that this action may be brought on behalf of any proposed class, and denies that it committed any unlawful acts or practices that would entitle Plaintiffs, or the putative class they seek to represent, to recover any damages.

10.5 In response to Paragraph 10.5 of the Complaint, Defendant states that the language of RCW 49.52.070 speaks for itself. Except as expressly admitted, Defendant denies each and every allegation contained in Paragraph 10.5.

10.6 In response to Paragraph 10.6 of the Complaint, Defendant denies each and every allegation contained in Paragraph 10.6 of the Complaint. Defendant further denies any claim or implication by Plaintiffs that this action may be brought on behalf of any proposed class, and denies that it committed any unlawful acts or practices that would entitle Plaintiffs, or the putative class they seek to represent, to recover any damages.

10.7 In response to Paragraph 10.7 of the Complaint, Defendant denies each and every allegation contained in Paragraph 10.7 of the Complaint. Defendant further denies any claim or implication by Plaintiffs that this action may be brought on behalf of any proposed class, and denies that it committed any unlawful acts or practices that would entitle Plaintiffs, or the putative class they seek to represent, to recover any damages.

10.8 In response to Paragraph 10.8 of the Complaint, Defendant denies each and every allegation contained in Paragraph 10.8 of the Complaint. Defendant further denies any claim or implication by Plaintiffs that this action may be brought on behalf of any proposed class, and denies that it committed any unlawful acts or practices that would entitle Plaintiffs, or the putative class they seek to represent, to recover any damages.

XI. SIXTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF (RCW 49.52.060 and WACV 296-126-028 — Alleged Unlawful Deductions)

- 11.1 In response to Paragraph 11.1 of the Complaint, Defendant re-alleges and incorporates by reference each and every response set forth in the preceding paragraphs.
- 11.2 In response to Paragraph 11.2 of the Complaint, Defendant states that the language of RCW 49.52.060 and WAC 296-126-028 speaks for itself. Except as expressly admitted, Defendant denies each and every allegation contained in Paragraph 11.2.

- 11.3 In response to Paragraph 11.3 of the Complaint, Defendant states that the language of WAC 296-128-028(5), RCW 49.52.060, and WAC 296-128-010(9) speaks for itself. Except as expressly admitted, Defendant denies each and every allegation contained in Paragraph 11.3.
- 11.4 In response to Paragraph 11.4 of the Complaint, Defendant denies each and every allegation contained in Paragraph 11.4. Defendant specifically denies any claim or implication by Plaintiffs that this action is appropriate to be certified or maintained as a class action, denies the substance of Plaintiffs' claims, and denies that it committed any unlawful acts or practices that would entitle Plaintiffs, or the putative class they seek to represent, to recover any damages.
- 11.5 In response to Paragraph 11.5 of the Complaint, Defendant denies each and every allegation contained in Paragraph 11.5. Defendant specifically denies any claim or implication by Plaintiffs that this action is appropriate to be certified or maintained as a class action, denies the substance of Plaintiffs' claims, and denies that it committed any unlawful acts or practices that would entitle Plaintiffs, or the putative class they seek to represent, to recover any damages.
- 11.6 In response to Paragraph 11.6 of the Complaint, Defendant denies each and every allegation contained in Paragraph 11.6.
- 11.7 In response to Paragraph 11.7 of the Complaint, Defendant denies each and every allegation contained in Paragraph 11.7. Defendant specifically denies any claim or implication by Plaintiffs that this action is appropriate to be certified or maintained as a class action, denies the substance of Plaintiffs' claims, and denies that it committed any unlawful acts or practices that would entitle Plaintiffs, or the putative class they seek to represent, to recover any damages.

XII. SEVENTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF (SMC 14.20.020 — Alleged Failure to Pay Wages Owed)

12.1 In response to Paragraph 12.1 of the Complaint, Defendant re-alleges and incorporates by reference each and every response set forth in the preceding paragraphs.

- 12.2 In response to Paragraph 12.2 of the Complaint, Defendant states that the language of SMC 14.20.020 speaks for itself. Except as expressly admitted, Defendant denies each and every allegation contained in Paragraph 12.2.
- 12.3 In response to Paragraph 12.3 of the Complaint, Defendant states that the language of SMC 14.20.045 speaks for itself. Except as expressly admitted, Defendant denies each and every allegation contained in Paragraph 12.3
- 12.4 In response to Paragraph 12.4 of the Complaint, Defendant denies each and every allegation contained in Paragraph 12.4. Defendant specifically denies any claim or implication by Plaintiffs that this action is appropriate to be certified or maintained as a class action, denies the substance of Plaintiffs' claims, and denies that it committed any unlawful acts or practices that would entitle Plaintiffs, or the putative class they seek to represent, to recover any damages.
- 12.5 In response to Paragraph 12.5 of the Complaint, Defendant states that the language of SMC 14.20.090(A) speaks for itself. Except as expressly admitted, Defendant denies each and every allegation contained in Paragraph 12.5
- 12.6 In response to Paragraph 12.6 of the Complaint, Defendant denies each and every allegation contained in Paragraph 12.6. Defendant specifically denies any claim or implication by Plaintiffs that this action is appropriate to be certified or maintained as a class action, denies the substance of Plaintiffs' claims, and denies that it committed any unlawful acts or practices that would entitle Plaintiffs, or the putative class they seek to represent, to recover any damages.
- 12.7 In response to Paragraph 12.7 of the Complaint, Defendant denies each and every allegation contained in Paragraph 12.7. Defendant specifically denies any claim or implication by Plaintiffs that this action is appropriate to be certified or maintained as a class action, denies the substance of Plaintiffs' claims, and denies that it committed any unlawful acts or practices that would entitle Plaintiffs, or the putative class they seek to represent, to recover any damages.

XIII. EIGHTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF (RCW 49.52.050 — Alleged Willful Refusal to Pay Wages)

- 13.1 In response to Paragraph 13.1 of the Complaint, Defendant re-alleges and incorporates by reference each and every response set forth in the preceding paragraphs.
- 13.2 In response to Paragraph 13.2 of the Complaint, Defendant states that the language of RCW 49.52.070 speaks for itself. Except as expressly admitted, Defendant denies each and every allegation contained in Paragraph 13.2.
- 13.3 In response to Paragraph 13.3 of the Complaint, Defendant states that the language of RCW 49.52.070 speaks for itself. Except as expressly admitted, Defendant denies each and every allegation contained in Paragraph 13.3.
- 13.4 In response to Paragraph 13.4 of the Complaint, Defendant denies each and every allegation contained in Paragraph 13.4. Defendant specifically denies any claim or implication by Plaintiffs that this action is appropriate to be certified or maintained as a class action, denies the substance of Plaintiffs' claims, and denies that it committed any unlawful acts or practices that would entitle Plaintiffs, or the putative class they seek to represent, to recover any damages.
- 13.5 In response to Paragraph 13.5 of the Complaint, Defendant denies each and every allegation contained in Paragraph 13.5.
- 13.6 In response to Paragraph 13.6 of the Complaint, Defendant denies each and every allegation contained in Paragraph 13.6. Defendant specifically denies any claim or implication by Plaintiffs that this action is appropriate to be certified or maintained as a class action, denies the substance of Plaintiffs' claims, and denies that it committed any unlawful acts or practices that would entitle Plaintiffs, or the putative class they seek to represent, to recover any damages.

PLAINTIFFS' REQUEST FOR RELIEF

In response to Plaintiffs' Prayer for Relief contained in Section XIV of the Complaint, Defendant denies that Plaintiffs or any other person is entitled to any of the relief requested in Subparagraphs A-I of the Prayer for Relief, or any relief of any kind whatsoever.

8

6

16

1718

1920

21

2223

25

24

26

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES AND OTHER DEFENSES

Defendant asserts the following separately numbered defenses and affirmative defenses without assuming any burden of proof that it does not have as a matter of law. To the extent that any defenses or legal theories asserted herein may be interpreted as being inconsistent, such defenses or legal theories are hereby pled in the alternative. Subject to and without waiving the foregoing, and without waiving Plaintiffs' burden to show otherwise, Defendant pleads as follows:

- 1. Defendant denies all allegations of fact and conclusions of law not specifically admitted herein.
- 2. Plaintiffs' Complaint fails, in whole or in part, to state a claim upon which relief can be granted, or for which the damages sought may be awarded.
- 3. The Complaint and each of its purported causes of action are preempted by the Motor Carrier Safety Act of 1984, 49 U.S.C. § 31141, and the Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration's Determination No. FMCSA–2019–0128.
- 4. Plaintiffs' claims are barred due to the express terms and requirements of their job position, and their agreement to such terms and requirements, as memorialized in a collective bargaining agreement (CBA). The sole remedy for some or all of Plaintiffs' claims must be obtained through the mandatory procedures set forth in the CBA, and Plaintiffs failed to exhaust their administrative remedies. Further, Plaintiffs are subject to a mandatory arbitration agreement and have signed an employment agreement with a jury waiver, and thus this action cannot proceed in court or be decided by a jury.
- 5. Plaintiffs' claims for relief and/or the claims of some or all of the putative class members are barred in whole or in part by the applicable statutes of limitations or other applicable limitation periods.
- 6. This case may not be maintained as a class action because Plaintiffs and the putative class do not satisfy the requirements of Rule 23 of the Washington Civil Rules, including but not

limited to the following: (a) Class relief is inappropriate because individual issues predominate over issues generally applicable to the putative class. By way of example, consideration of the employment circumstances of the Plaintiffs and each putative class action member requires an individual fact-specific inquiry into the actual day-to-day experiences of each individual; (b) Plaintiffs' claims are not representative or typical of the claims of the putative class; (c) Plaintiffs are not adequate class representatives; (d) Certain of the interests of the Plaintiffs are in conflict with the interests of all or certain sub-groups of the members of the alleged putative class, and certain interests of putative class members are in conflict with each other; and (e) A class action is not the superior method for adjudicating this dispute, among other reasons.

- 7. Certification of a class action based on the criteria set forth in Plaintiffs' Complaint would violate due process and Rule 23.
- 8. Some of Plaintiffs' claims, and those of the putative class, are barred or limited to the extent that the Seattle Municipal Code, SMC 14.20 *et seq.*, exceeds the authority of the City of Seattle and/or conflicts with Washington state law or the rules of this Court, including but not limited to SMC 14.20.090, the remedies provided therein, and the definition of "a class of persons."
- 9. Plaintiffs lack standing to assert claims on behalf of any other person or class, including but not limited to claims for exemplary (double) damages under RCW 49.52.070, claims for treble damages under SMC 14.20.090, and claims for attorneys' fees.
- 10. Plaintiffs' claims and/or the claims of some or all of the putative class members for violations of RCW 49.52.050 (willful withholding of wages), for exemplary (double) damages under RCW 49.52.070, and for treble damages under SMC 14.20.090, are barred because, at a minimum, a bona fide dispute exists as to the obligation to pay the wages sought in this action and/or because Defendant did not reach an organizational consensus as to the issue(s) in dispute and/or because Plaintiffs and putative class members knowingly submitted to any alleged violations and/or because

LITTLER MENDELSON, P.C.

7

14

1516

17

18 19

20

2122

2324

25

26

Defendant did not act willfully or with any intent to deprive Plaintiffs or putative class members of any part of their wages.

- 11. Defendant acted reasonably and in reliance upon written administrative regulations, orders, or rulings to assure full compliance with all applicable requirements of Washington law, including but not limited to the Washington State Department of Labor and Industries' Administrative Policy ES.C.6.
- 12. Plaintiffs' claims for alleged failure to provide rest breaks are barred because Plaintiffs and putative class members were able to take, and did take, intermittent and/or scheduled rest periods equivalent to 10 minutes for each 4 hours worked. To the extent Plaintiffs or putative class members did not take particular rest breaks, they were properly compensated.
- 13. Plaintiffs' claims for alleged failure to provide meal periods are barred because Plaintiffs and the putative class members were able to take, and did take, meal periods equivalent to 30 minutes for each 5 hours worked. To the extent Plaintiffs or putative class members did not take particular meal periods, they voluntarily waived their meal periods or they were properly compensated.
 - 14. Defendant was not the proximate or actual cause of the damage alleged by Plaintiffs.
- 15. Plaintiffs and the members of the putative class have failed to mitigate their damages and/or failed to protect themselves from avoidable consequences, and/or are barred by the doctrines of laches, waiver, estoppel, unclean hands, and/or after-acquired evidence to the extent they failed to follow Defendant's policies.
- 16. Plaintiffs' claims and/or the claims of some or all of the putative class members may be barred, limited, and/or waived by a settlement, judgment, or payment, and/or applicable bankruptcy laws.
- 17. Defendant alleges that any unlawful or other wrongful acts, if any, taken by any of the officers, directors, supervisors, or employees of Defendant were outside the scope of their

7

9

11 12

13 14

15

1617

18

19

2021

22

23

2425

26

authority and such acts, if any, were not authorized, ratified, or condoned by Defendant, nor did Defendant know, nor should it have known of such conduct.

- 18. To the extent any sum may be found due and owing to Plaintiffs or putative class members, Defendant is entitled to an offset against any damages awarded for amounts paid, tendered, waived, compromised, and/or released prior to the adjudication herein, including but not limited to any amounts paid to which they were not otherwise entitled or any amounts paid, tendered, waived, compromised, and/or released through any other proceeding, either formal or informal.
- 19. Plaintiffs and putative class members are not entitled to an award of prejudgment interest even if they prevail on any of their claims because the damages claimed are not sufficiently certain to allow an award of prejudgment interest.
- 20. Defendant's defenses above are likewise asserted as defenses to the claims of any and all members of any class that may be certified in this case.
- 21. Defendant has not yet completed a thorough investigation and study or completed discovery of all facts and circumstances of the subject matter of the Complaint, and accordingly, reserves the right to amend, modify, revise, or supplement its Answer, and to plead such further defenses and affirmative defenses and take such further actions as it may deem proper and necessary in its defense upon the completion of its investigation and study.

DEFENDANT'S PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, having fully answered Plaintiffs' Complaint, Defendant respectfully requests that this Court:

- a. Enter judgment in favor of Defendant;
- b. Deny each and every demand, claim, and prayer for relief contained in Plaintiffs' First Amended Complaint, and order that Plaintiffs take nothing by their claims against Defendant;
- c. Award Defendant its costs and attorneys' fees in defending this action pursuant to applicable law;

1	d.	Grant Defendant permission to amend its pleading to conform to the proof offered at	
2	the time of trial; and		
3	e.	Grant such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and equitable under	
4	the circumsta	nces.	
5			
6			
7	Dated: Septe	mber 6, 2024	
8		s/ Breanne Sheetz Martell Breanne Sheetz Martell, WSBA #39632	
9		bsmartell@littler.com Brian Rho, WSBA #51209	
10		brho@littler.com	
11		LITTLER MENDELSON, P.C. One Union Square	
12		600 University Street, Suite 3200 Seattle, WA 98101.3122	
13		Phone: 206.623.3300 Fax: 206.447.6965	
14		Attorney for Defendant	
15		Linde Gas & Equipment, Inc.	
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			

1	<u>CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE</u>		
2	I am a resident of the State of Washington, over the age of eighteen years, and not a party		
3	to the within action. My business address is One Union Square, 600 University Street, Ste. 3200,		
4	Seattle, WA 98101. On September 6, 2024, I		
5	ELECTRONICALLY FILED the foregoing document(s) via the Pierce County Superior Court LINX E-Filing System and served a copy via e-service.		
6			
7	EMAIL to the email address(es) of the person(s) set forth below.		
8	EMAIL to the email address(es) of the person(s) set forth below, pursuant to the parties' e-mail service agreement. U.S. MAIL by placing a true copy for collection and mailing following the firm's ordinary business practic in a sealed envelope with postage thereon fully prepaid for deposit in the United States mail at Seattle, Washington addressed as set forth below. Plaintiffs' Counsel Toby J. Marshall, WSBA #32726 Eric R. Nusser, WSBA #51513 Jasmin Resaie-Tirabadi, WSBA #60285 TERRELL MARSHALL LAW GROUP PLLC 936 N. 34th Street Suite 300 Seattle, WA 98103 Phone: (206) 816-6603 tmarshall@terrellmarshall.com pirezaie@terrellmarshall.com jrezaie@terrellmarshall.com		
9 10			
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18			
19	I declare under the penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of Washington and the		
20	United States that the above is true and correct. Executed on September 6, 2024, at Seattle,		
21	Washington.		
22	/s/Karen Fiumano Yun		
23	Karen Fiumano Yun kfiumano@littler.com LITTLER MENDELSON, P.C. 4875-6751-8159.4 / 097158-1013		
24			
25			
26			